

INTRODUCTION

- Imetelstat is a first-in-class direct and competitive inhibitor of telomerase that specifically targets MDS clones with abnormally high telomerase activity, enabling the recovery of effective hematopoiesis¹⁻⁴
- In the phase 2 part of the IMerge study, patients with LR-MDS who were heavily RBC transfusion dependent, R/R to or ineligible for ESAs, non-del(5g), and naive to lenalidomide and HMA achieved durable and continuous RBC-TI when treated with imetelstat,⁵ with an 8-week RBC-TI rate of 42% and a median TI duration of 86 weeks
- The phase 3 results from IMerge in the same patient population were highly consistent with the phase 2 efficacy results, with an 8-week RBC-TI rate of 40% and median TI duration approaching 1 year, a 24-week RBC-TI of 28%, and a 1-year RBC-TI of 18%⁶ • This poster presents the analysis of phase 3 results on the reduction of MDS clones with imetelstat vs placebo, suggesting
- potential disease-modifying activity of imetelstat

Figure 1. IMerge Phase 3 Trial Design (MDS3001; NCT02598661)



^aReceived ≥8 weeks of ESA treatment (epoetin alfa ≥40,000 units, epoetin beta ≥30,000 units, darbepoetin alfa 150 μg, or equivalent per week) without Hb rise ≥1.5 g/dL or decreased RBC transfusion requirement ≥4 U per 8 weeks or transfusion dependence or reduction in Hb level by ≥1.5 g/dL after hematologic improvement from ≥8 weeks of ESA treatment. ^bProportion of patients without any RBC transfusion for \geq 8 consecutive weeks since entry to the trial (8-week TI); proportion of patients without any RBC transfusion for \geq 24 consecutive weeks since entry to the trial (24-week TI).

AIM

To evaluate cytogenetic response, VAF changes, and clinical correlates in phase 3 of the IMerge study

METHODS

- In the IMerge phase 3 trial, a double-blind, randomized (2:1), placebo-controlled study conducted at 118 global sites between 2019 and 2022, patients with heavily RBC-TD ESA-relapsed/refractory/ineligible non-del(5q) LR-MDS naive to lenalidomide/HMA were randomized to receive imetelstat 7.5 mg/kg (n = 118) or placebo (n = 60) every 4 weeks until disease progression, unacceptable toxicity, withdrawal of consent, or lack of response
- The main outcomes measures were cytogenetic response assessed by BM aspirates collected every 24 weeks posttreatment in patients with baseline cytogenetic abnormalities. VAF changes posttreatment assessed by blood samples collected every 12 weeks in patients with ≥5% VAF at baseline and ≥1 postbaseline assessment

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- Previously presented as an oral presentation at the European Hematology Association (EHA) 2023 congress
- The authors thank the patients and caregivers for their participation in this study and acknowledge the collaboration and commitment of the investigators and their research support staff
- All authors contributed to and approved the presentation Writing and editorial assistance was provided by Erin McMullin, PhD, Maleeha Fortuin-Seedat, PhD, and Mary C. Wiggin of Ashfield

MedComms, an Inizio Company **DISCLOSURES**

Valeria Santini served on advisory boards with AbbVie, BMS, CTI, Geron, Gilead, Novartis, Otsuka, Servier, and Syros and received a travel grant from Janssen.

CONTACT INFORMATION

IMerge (MDS3001): <u>https://www.geron.com/patients/imerge-study</u> ClinicalTrials.gov: NCT02598661; email mds3001-info@geron.com

REFERENCES

- 1. Asai A, et al. Cancer Res. 2003;63(14):3931-3939.
- 2. Herbert B-S, et al. Oncogene. 2005;24(33):5262-5268. 3. Mosoyan G, et al. *Leukemia*. 2017;31(11):2458-2467.
- 4. Wang X, et al. *Blood Adv*. 2018;2(18):2378-2388.
- 5. Steensma DP, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021;39(1):48-56. 6. Platzbecker U, et al. EHA 2023. Oral presentation S165

ABBREVIATIONS

AE, adverse event; ASXL1, additional sex combs like-1; BM, bone marrow; CR, complete response; DCO, data cutoff; DNMT3A, DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 3A; EPO, erythropoietin; ESA, erythropoiesis-stimulating agent; FACIT, Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy; G-CSF, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; Hb, hemoglobin; HMA, hypomethylating agent; IPSS, International Prognostic Scoring System; ITT, intent-totreat; IV, intravenous; LR, lower risk; MDS, myelodysplastic neoplasms; NGS, nextgeneration sequencing; NS, not significant; PR, partial response; PRO, patient-reported outcome; R, randomization; RBC, red blood cell; R/R, relapsed/refractory; RS, ring sideroblast; sEPO, serum erythropoietin; SF3B1, splicing factor 3b subunit 1; TET2, Tet methylcytosine dioxygenase 2; TI, transfusion independence; VAF, variant allele frequency.



DISEASE MODIFYING ACTIVITY OF IMETELSTAT IN PATIENTS WITH HEAVILY TRANSFUSED NON-DEL(5Q) LOWER-RISK MYELODYSPLASTIC NEOPLASMS RELAPSED/REFRACTORY/INELIGIBLE FOR **ERYTHROPOIESIS-STIMULATING AGENTS IN IMErge PHASE III**

V. SANTINI¹, U. PLATZBECKER², P. FENAUX³, M.A. SEKERES⁴, M.R. SAVONA⁵, Y.F. MADANAT⁶, M. DÍEZ-CAMPELO⁷, D. VALCÁRCEL-FERREIRAS⁸, T. ILLMER⁹, A. JONÁŠOVÁ¹⁰, P. BĚLOHLÁVKOVÁ¹¹, L. SHERMAN¹², S. SHAH¹², Q. XIA¹², L. PENG¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², A. IKIN¹², S. DOUGHERTY¹², S. SHAH¹², Q. XIA¹², L. PENG¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², A. IKIN¹², T. BERRY¹², S. DOUGHERTY¹², S. SHAH¹², Q. XIA¹², L. PENG¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. PENG¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SUN¹², J. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SHERMAN¹², T. BERRY¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SHERMAN¹², T. BERRY¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SHERMAN¹², T. BERRY¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SUN¹², Y. WAN¹², F. HUANG¹², A. IKIN¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², C. XIA¹², L. SHER¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHAH¹², S. SHA¹², S. SH¹², S. NAVADA¹², F. FELLER¹², A.M. ZEIDAN^{13*}, R.S. KOMROKJI^{14*}

¹Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria Careggi, University of Florence, Florence, Italy; ²Leipzig University Hospital, Leipzig, Germany; ³Hôpital Saint-Louis, University of Miami, FL, USA; ⁵Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, University of Miami, FL, USA; ⁵Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, University of Miami, FL, USA; ⁵Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, University of Miami, FL, USA; ⁵Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, University of Miami, FL, USA; ⁵Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderb Nashville, TN, USA; ⁶Harold C. Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; ⁷University Hospital of Salamanca, Spain; ⁹Hematology Private Practice, Dresden, Germany; ¹⁰General Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic; ¹¹Charles University Hospital Hradec Kralove, Czech Republic; ¹²Geron Corporation, Parsippany, NJ, USA; ¹³Yale School of Medicine and Yale Cancer Center, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA; ¹⁴Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA. *Contributed equally.

RESULTS

• Demographics and disease characteristics were balanced between study arms (**Table 1**) - The study comprised 118 and 60 patients in the imetelstat and placebo arms, respectively

Table 1. Demographics and Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	lmetelstat (n = 118)	Placebo (n = 60)
Age, median (range), y	72 (44-87)	73 (39-85)
Male, n (%)	71 (60)	40 (67)
Time since diagnosis, median (range), y	3.5 (0.1-26.7)	2.8 (0.2-25.7)
WHO classification, n (%) RS+ RS-	73 (62) 44 (37)	37 (62) 23 (38)
IPSS risk category, n (%) Low Intermediate-1	80 (68) 38 (32)	39 (65) 21 (35)
Pretreatment Hb level, median (range), ^a g/dL	7.9 (5.3-10.1)	7.8 (6.1-9.2)
Prior RBC transfusion burden, median (range), RBC U/8 wk	6 (4-33)	6 (4-13)
Prior RBC transfusion burden, n (%) ≥4 to ≤6 U/8 wk >6 U/8 wk	62 (53) 56 (48)	33 (55) 27 (45)
sEPO, median (range), mU/mL	174.9 (6.0-4460.0)	277.0 (16.9-5514.0)
sEPO level, n (%) ^b ≤500 mU/mL >500 mU/mL	87 (74) 26 (22)	36 (60) 22 (37)
Prior ESA, n (%)	108 (92)	52 (87)
Prior luspatercept, n (%) ^c	7 (6)	4 (7)

DCO date, October 13, 2022. ^aAverage of all Hb values in the 8 weeks before the first dose date, excluding values within 14 days after a transfusion, which was considered to be influenced by transfusion. ^bData missing for 5 patients in the imetelstat group and 2 in the placebo group. ^cInsufficient number of patients previously treated with luspatercept to draw conclusions about the effect of imetelstat treatment in such patients.

- Most common AEs with imetelstat were hematologic and of short duration (**Table 2**) Median duration of grade 3-4 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia was <2 weeks; >80% of events were reversible to grade ≤ 2 within 4 weeks (**Table 3**)
- 41 Patients (34.7%) in the imetelstat group and 2 patients (3.4%) in the placebo group had ≥1 dose of a myeloid growth factor mostly within cycles 2-4
- Clinical consequences of grade 3-4 infection and bleeding were low and similar for imetelstat and placebo

ble 2. AEs With Imetelstat vs Placebo

Hematologic AEs (≥10% of patients), n (%)	Imetelstat (n = 118)		Placebo (n = 59)	
	Any grade	Grade 3-4	Any grade	Grade 3–4
Thrombocytopenia	89 (75)	73 (62)	6 (10)	5 (8)
Neutropenia	87 (74)	80 (68)	4 (7)	2 (3)
Anemia	24 (20)	23 (19)	6 (10)	4 (7)
Leukopenia	12 (10)	9 (8)	1 (2)	0

Table 3. Duration of Cytopenias

Grade 3-4 cytopenias (per laboratory value)	lmetelstat (n = 118)	Placebo (n = 59)
Thrombocytopenia Duration, median (range), wk Resolved within 4 wk, %	1.4 (0.1-12.6) 86.3	2.0 (0.3-11.6) 44.4
Neutropenia Duration, median (range), wk Resolved within 4 wk, %	1.9 (0-15.9) 81.0	2.2 (1.0-4.6) 50.0

Figure 2. 8-Week to 1-Year RBC-TI Rate With Imetelstat vs Placebo



mary end point 8-week TI and the first secondary end point 24-week TI were statistically significant by the study's prespecified gate-keeping esting procedure. P Value determined by the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test, with stratification for prior RBC transfusion burden (≥4 to ≤6 vs >6 RBC U per 8 weeks during a 16-week period prerandomization) and baseline IPSS risk category (low vs intermediate-1) applied to randomization. °DCO late, October 13, 2022. ^bDCO date, January 13, 2023.

- the placebo group also achieved 8-week RBC-TI

Table 4. Cytogenetic Response With Imetelstat vs Placebo

Cytogenetic response^a

Patients with baseline cytogenetic abnormali boratory review, n (%)^b

- ytogenetic best response, n (%)^{c,d}
- Cytogenetic CR Cytogenetic PR
- Cytogenetic CR or PR criteria not met Not evaluable

togenetic CR or PR, n (%)^d

95% Cle % Difference (95% CI)^f

P Value^g

and the state of the

Cytogenetic testing was done centrally, and the cytogenetic response was assessed by IRC. ^bPercentages calculated using the number of patients in each treatment group as the denominator. ^cOnly patients considered for IRC adjudication are those assessed as having baseline cytogenetic abnormality by the IRC based on central laboratory data. ^dPercentages calculated using the number of patients with a baseline cytogenetic abnormality per central laboratory review within each treatment group as the denominator. ^eExact Clopper-Pearson CI. ^fWilson score CI. ^gP Value derived from the Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test controlling for prior RBC transfusion burden (≤6 vs >6 U RBC) and IPSS risk group (low vs intermediate-1) applied to randomization.

- A higher percentage of patients treated with imetelstat than placebo had a ≥50% reduction in central BM RS (Fig. 3)
- achieving a \geq 50% reduction in central BM RS
- 83% were 8-week RBC-TI responders (Fig. 5)
- in *SF3B1* VAF or ≥50% reduction in *TET2* VAF (**Fig. 5**)

Figure 3. Percent of Patients With ≥50% Reduction in Central BM RS With Imetelstat vs Placebo



• Primary end point of 8-week RBC-TI rate was significantly higher with imetelstat vs placebo - The ≥8-week RBC-TI rate was 40% in the imetelstat vs 15% in the placebo group (Fig. 2)

• A higher percentage of patients achieved cytogenetic response with imetelstat vs placebo (**Table 4**) - Among patients with cytogenetic abnormalities at baseline, the cytogenetic response rate was 35% (9 of 26) in the imetelstat group and 15% (2 of 13) in the placebo group

- Among cytogenetic responders, 89% of patients (8 of 9) in the imetelstat group and 50% (1 of 2) in

	lmetelstat (n = 118)	Placebo (n = 60)	
ty based on central	26 (22)	13 (22)	
	5 (19) 4 (15) 5 (19) 12 (46)	1 (8) 1 (8) 5 (39) 6 (46)	
	9 (35) 17-56 19 (-16	2 (15) 2-45 5 to 44)	
	0.04.0		

0.216

• More patients treated with imetelstat vs placebo had \geq 50% reduction in central BM RS

Reduction in BM RS associated with TI response: RBC-TI responders were enriched in patients

• More patients treated with imetelstat vs placebo had \geq 50% reductions in mutations of interest - Mutations on 36 MDS-associated genes were tested by NGS at baseline and after treatment

- Among patients with evaluable mutation data, the maximum reductions in VAF of the SF3B1, TET2, DNMT3A, and ASXL1 genes were greater with imetelstat than placebo (Fig. 4)

 VAF reduction correlated with improved outcomes in patients treated with imetelstat - Among patients treated with imetelstat who achieved $\geq 50\%$ SF3B1 and $\geq 50\%$ TET2 VAF reduction,

– ≥24-Week and ≥1-year RBC-TI responders were also enriched in patients achieving ≥50% reduction

- VAF reduction with imetelstat correlated with longer RBC-TI duration and increased Hb levels (Fig. 6)

Figure 4. Percent of Patients With ≥50% Reduction in VAFs With Imetelstat vs Placebo



ssessment. (A) Ratios underneath the bars represent the number of patients with \geq 50% VAF reduction as numerator and the total umber of patients with detectable assessment (\geq 5% VAF) in specified mutation at baseline and any postbaseline mutation assessment as denominator. P antel-Haenszel test stratified for prior RBC transfusion burden (≤ 6 U or >6 U of RBC every 8 weeks) and baseline IPSS risk score (low or intermediate-1). (B) Comparison between each treatment group in the maximum percentage change from baseline in mutant VAF of the indicated gene. P Value based on the 2-sample t-test.

Figure 5. RBC-TI Responders in the Imetelstat Group Were Enriched in Patients Achieving ≥50% Reduction in *SF3B1* (A) and *TET2* (B) VAF



	1-y TI	24-wk TI	8-wk TI	No response
Patients, n (%)	SF3B1 VAF ≥50% reduction with imetelstat			
	Yes (n = 23)	No (n = 55)	Total (N = 78)	P Value
8-wk RBC-TI				
Yes	19 (82.6)	21 (38.2)	40 (51.3)	
No	4 (17.4)	34 (61.8)	38 (48.7)	
24-wk RBC-TI				
Yes	16 (69.6)	13 (23.6)	29 (37.2)	
No	7 (30.4)	42 (76.4)	49 (62.8)	
1-y RBC-TI				
Yes	11 (47.8)	3 (5.5)	14 (17.9)	
No	12 (52.2)	52 (94.5)	64 (82.1)	
В	TET2 VAF			



	1-y TI	24-wk TI	8-wk TI	
Patients, n (%)	TET2 VAF ≥50% reduction with imete			
	Yes (n = 12)	No (n = 23)	Total (N = 35)	
8-wk RBC-TI Yes No 24-wk RBC-TI Yes No	10 (83.3) 2 (16.7) 10 (83.3) 2 (16.7)	10 (43.5) 13 (56.5) 6 (26.1) 17 (73.9)	20 (57.1) 15 (42.9) 16 (45.7) 19 (54.3)	
1-y RBC-TI Yes No	6 (50.0) 6 (50.0)	2 (8.7) 21 (91.3)	8 (22.9) 27 (77.1)	
Analyses included patients in the ITT population with a detectable SE3B1 mutant allele (>5%) before treatment and				

Analyses included patients in the ITT population with a detectable SF3B1 mutant allele (\geq 5%) before treatment and \geq 1 postbaseline mutation assessment.

MDS-605



Analyses included patients in the ITT population with a detectable mutant allele for the indicated gene (\geq 5%) before treatment and \geq 1 postbaseline mutation assessment (A, B) and had postbaseline Hb assessment, excluding assessments within 14 days post-RBC transfusion (B). Fitted lines and P value based on linear regression with maximum increase in RBC-TI duration (A) or maximum increase in Hb from pretreatment (B) as the dependent variable and the maximum percentage reduction from baseline in each gene VAF as independent variable. P Value calculated using Fisher exact test between "yes" vs "no" in each outcome.

Figure 7. Correlation of 8-Week and 24-Week RBC-TI With Reduction in RS+ Cells, Cytogenetic **Responses, and VAF Reduction in Patients Treated With Imetelstat**

CONCLUSIONS

- In this heavily transfusion dependent LR-MDS population treatment with imetelstat vs placebo led to:
- Higher cytogenetic response rate
- Higher percentage of patients achieving \geq 50% reduction in BM RS cells (41% vs 10%)
- Greater reduction of VAF in multiple genes, which correlated with clinical end points of TI response, longer RBC-TI duration, and increase in Hb levels
- Cytogenetic response, RS BM reduction, and VAF reduction all correlated with 8-week and 24-week TI
- These data, along with the robust and sustained RBC-TI rates, suggest that imetelstat may alter the underlying biology of LR-MDS and can potentially modify the disease by reducing or eliminating malignant clones and improving ineffective erythropoiesis

